

# **STUDY OF THE SYNTHESIS OF ZERO-VALENT IRON** NANOPARTICLES THROUGH LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT

<u>G. N. Miranda Ruiza,\*</u>, J. Crespi<sup>a,b,c</sup>, J. Garro<sup>a,d</sup>, A. M. Cuellar Felantana<sup>a,b,c,e</sup>, V. N. Montesinos<sup>a,b,e</sup> and N. Quici<sup>a,b,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centro de Tecnologías Químicas, Departamento de Ingeniería Química, UTN-FRBA <sup>b</sup> División Química de la Remediación Ambiental, Gerencia Química, CNEA

<sup>c</sup> Instituto Sabato, UNSAM-CNEA

<sup>d</sup> Departamento de Sistemas y Herramientas para el Desarrollo Sustentable, SOQYA, GOSI, INTI

<sup>e</sup> Gerencia Química, CNEA, CONICET

\*gladysmirandaruiz1@gmail.com

## INTRODUCTION











Traditional chemical method: reduction of Fe(II) or Fe(III)





**RESULTS** 

### **Step 2: Inventory**

Based on the synthesis data, the inventory was constructed using 1 g of nZVI as a functional unit.

Inventory	S2015	S2023	
INPUTS			
FeCl <sub>3</sub> (kg)	5.91 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.42 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	
NaBH <sub>4</sub> (kg)	2.97 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.71 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	
Ethanol (kg)	4.60 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.58 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>	
NaOH (kg)	3.27 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	Not used	
Milli-Q water (kg)	6.00 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>	Not used	
N <sub>2</sub> gas (kg)	1.62 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>	8.01 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	
Filter paper (kg)	1.13 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.13 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	
Energy	3.20	0.76	
Stirring (kWh)	3.41 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	2.44 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	
Filtration (kWh)	1.41 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.91 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>	
Drying (kWh)	3.02	2.45 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>	
OUTPUTS			
Solid waste (kg)	1.13 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.13 × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	
Wastewater (kg)	7.81× 10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.62 × 10 <sup>-1</sup>	
nZVI (kg)	0.001	0.001	
The augestities used in b	oth cococ are	of the come	

The quantities used in both cases are of the same order.

### **Step 3: Life Cycle Impact Assessment**



✓ In S2023, NaOH is not used to adjust the pH.

 $\checkmark$  In S2015, drying energy is significant.

#### ✓ Drying: **10** categories (5)

 $\checkmark$  Reaction: **2** categories (4)

✓ Reaction: 8 categories

✓ Filtration: 6 categories (6)

Note: The numbers in parentheses represent other relevant categories for each stage. Although they are not the main contributors, they highlight notable secondary effects to consider in the analysis.

